INVESTMENT

Portfolio Allocation: The Practical Framework

How much crypto belongs in a portfolio? The frameworks serious investors use to answer the question.

James
Chief Strategist

12 min

The question every serious investor eventually asks isn't "should I own crypto?" — it's "how much?" Too little and you miss meaningful upside. Too much and you introduce unacceptable risk. The answer isn't a single number but a framework for thinking about allocation that respects both opportunity and uncertainty. Here's how sophisticated investors approach it.

The Core Trade-Off

Crypto's investment profile is unusual: asymmetric returns with extreme volatility. Bitcoin has been the best-performing asset of the last 15 years by a wide margin, but has also experienced multiple 80%+ drawdowns. This creates a specific trade-off:

Upside potential: Even small allocations to crypto can meaningfully impact portfolio returns if crypto succeeds. A 2% allocation that 10x adds 20 percentage points to total return.

Downside risk: That same allocation going to zero loses only 2%. Survivable. A 20% allocation going to zero is portfolio-damaging. A 50% allocation is potentially catastrophic.

This asymmetry suggests the optimal allocation is non-zero but bounded. The question is where to set the bounds.

> *"The goal isn't to maximise crypto exposure. It's to find the allocation where potential upside justifies potential downside, given your specific circumstances."*

The Institutional Framework: 1-5%

Most institutional frameworks suggest crypto allocations between 1% and 5% of portfolio value. This range emerges from several considerations:

Loss tolerance: A 1-5% position, even if it goes to zero, doesn't compromise the portfolio's ability to meet its objectives. For a pension fund or endowment, this is non-negotiable.

Meaningful impact: At 1-5%, strong crypto performance materially improves returns. A 3% allocation that doubles adds 3 percentage points — significant for portfolios targeting 7-8% annual returns.

Rebalancing benefits: Crypto's low correlation with traditional assets means rebalancing captures volatility. Selling after crypto rallies, buying after crashes — systematic rebalancing adds value over time.

Governance constraints: Investment committees must justify allocations. 1-5% in an emerging asset class is defensible. 20% is a different conversation entirely.

KEY INSIGHT

The 1-5% institutional range isn't arbitrary — it's the zone where crypto's potential return contribution exceeds its risk contribution to overall portfolio volatility. Below 1%, impact is negligible. Above 5%, volatility contribution dominates. Mathematical optimisation consistently lands in this range under reasonable assumptions.

Sizing by Conviction

Within the acceptable range, personal conviction should scale position size:

Low conviction (1%): You understand the thesis, see merit, but remain skeptical about timing or probability of success. A watching position that provides optionality without concentration.

Moderate conviction (2-3%): You believe the thesis is more likely right than wrong. Willing to accept meaningful portfolio impact from a complete loss. This is where most thoughtful investors land.

High conviction (4-5%): You've done deep research, understand risks, and believe strongly in long-term success. This is maximum institutional allocation, not because you can't go higher, but because higher concentrations require different risk frameworks.

Beyond 5%: Possible for individuals with high risk tolerance, long time horizons, and genuine understanding. But at this level, crypto volatility dominates portfolio behaviour. You're making a concentrated bet, not a diversified allocation.

The Kelly Criterion Perspective

For quantitatively-inclined investors, the Kelly Criterion offers a framework:

The formula: Optimal bet size equals edge divided by odds. In portfolio terms: how much to allocate given your estimated probability of success and potential payoff.

Applied to Bitcoin: If you believe there's a 50% chance Bitcoin reaches $200,000 (2x from $100,000) and 50% chance it falls to $20,000 (0.2x), Kelly suggests modest allocation. If you believe 60% chance of $500,000 and 40% chance of $50,000, Kelly suggests larger allocation.

The practical issue: Kelly assumes you know probabilities and payoffs. In crypto, both are deeply uncertain. Most practitioners use "fractional Kelly" — allocating a fraction (often 25-50%) of what pure Kelly suggests, to account for estimation error.

The insight: Even under optimistic assumptions about crypto's future, Kelly rarely suggests allocations above 10-15%. The uncertainty bounds keep you honest.

Bitcoin vs. Broader Crypto

Not all crypto is equivalent from a portfolio perspective:

Bitcoin only: The conservative approach. Bitcoin has the longest track record, deepest liquidity, clearest regulatory status, and most institutional acceptance. If you're making one crypto allocation, Bitcoin is the default.

Bitcoin + Ethereum: The pragmatic compromise. Ethereum adds smart contract platform exposure without venturing into speculative altcoins. A 70/30 or 80/20 BTC/ETH split is common.

Broader portfolio: Adding exposure to other Layer 1s, DeFi protocols, or infrastructure tokens increases potential return but also correlation and complexity. Appropriate for investors who can monitor and understand multiple positions.

The principle: Diversification within crypto has limits. Most crypto assets are highly correlated — they rise and fall together. Adding 10 altcoins doesn't meaningfully diversify; it adds complexity and potential for underperformance versus BTC.

THE RORY SUTHERLAND TAKE

Allocation decisions reveal beliefs you might not consciously hold. A 0% allocation says "I'm certain crypto will fail." A 50% allocation says "I'm certain crypto will succeed." Neither certainty is warranted by evidence. The 1-5% range says "I acknowledge uncertainty while maintaining exposure to upside." It's the intellectually honest position. Extremes in either direction are statements of faith, not analysis. Moderates aren't boring — they're calibrated.

Time Horizon Matters

Appropriate allocation depends heavily on when you need the money:

Short-term (< 3 years): Crypto volatility makes it unsuitable for money you need soon. An 80% drawdown at the wrong moment is not recoverable on your timeline. Keep crypto allocation minimal or zero.

Medium-term (3-10 years): Drawdowns become recoverable. Historical crypto cycles have lasted 3-4 years peak-to-peak. A decade includes multiple cycles. Standard 1-5% allocations make sense.

Long-term (10+ years): Multiple cycles smooth returns. Compound growth has time to work. Higher allocations become defensible for those with genuine long-term horizons and psychological ability to hold through drawdowns.

The trap: Many investors overestimate their time horizon. They say "long-term" but panic during 50% drops. Honest assessment of your actual holding behaviour should inform allocation more than aspirational time horizons.

Implementation: Lump Sum vs. DCA

How you build the position matters:

Lump sum: Mathematically optimal if you believe in long-term appreciation. Markets tend to rise over time, so being fully invested earlier captures more upside. But psychologically difficult — buying immediately before a 50% drop creates regret that often leads to selling at the worst time.

Dollar-cost averaging (DCA): Investing fixed amounts at regular intervals. Suboptimal mathematically but superior psychologically. Removes timing decisions. Average purchase price smooths entry. Easier to maintain through volatility.

Hybrid approach: Deploy half immediately, DCA the remainder over 6-12 months. Balances mathematical efficiency with psychological reality. Common among sophisticated investors.

Value averaging: Adjust purchase amounts based on portfolio value — buy more when prices fall, less when prices rise. Theoretically superior to DCA but more complex to implement.

Rebalancing: The Hidden Alpha

Rebalancing a crypto allocation generates meaningful returns:

The mechanism: Set target allocation (say, 3%). When crypto rallies and becomes 6% of portfolio, sell half to restore target. When crypto crashes and becomes 1.5%, buy to restore target. You're systematically selling high and buying low.

The evidence: Backtesting shows rebalanced portfolios outperform buy-and-hold by 1-3% annually, depending on rebalancing frequency and crypto volatility. Free alpha from discipline.

Frequency: Quarterly rebalancing captures most benefit without excessive trading. More frequent rebalancing increases costs and tax events. Annual rebalancing is too slow for crypto's volatility.

Threshold triggers: Alternatively, rebalance when allocation drifts more than 50% from target (e.g., 3% target, rebalance when below 1.5% or above 4.5%). Reduces unnecessary trading while capturing large moves.

Tax-Efficient Structuring

Where you hold crypto affects after-tax returns:

Tax-advantaged accounts: Holding crypto in IRAs, 401(k)s, or equivalent accounts shields gains from taxation. ETF structure makes this possible. For long-term holders expecting significant appreciation, tax-advantaged holding is optimal.

Taxable accounts: Direct crypto holdings in taxable accounts trigger capital gains on disposal. Consider long-term holding (over 1 year) for preferential rates. Tax-loss harvesting during drawdowns can offset other gains.

Asset location: If you hold both stocks and crypto, it may be tax-efficient to hold crypto (higher expected volatility and gains) in tax-advantaged accounts and stocks in taxable accounts. Consult a tax professional for your situation.

Risk Management Beyond Sizing

Allocation is just one risk management tool:

Custody diversification: Don't keep all crypto in one place. Split between exchanges, hardware wallets, and custodians. Single points of failure create non-market risk.

Mental accounting: Consider your crypto allocation as "money you could lose." If that framing causes anxiety, your allocation is too high. Psychological comfort enables better decisions.

Written plan: Document your allocation target, rebalancing rules, and conditions that would change your thesis. When emotions run high, the written plan provides discipline.

Review cadence: Quarterly review of allocation and thesis. Not daily price checking — that invites overreaction. Structured review at defined intervals.

> *"The best allocation is one you can maintain through an 80% drawdown without panic selling. If you can't hold it at the worst times, you shouldn't hold it at all."*

Common Mistakes

Patterns that sophisticated investors avoid:

Performance chasing: Increasing allocation after prices rise, decreasing after prices fall. This is the opposite of rebalancing and destroys value.

Overconfidence after gains: A 3x return doesn't mean you understood crypto better than others. It might mean you got lucky on timing. Avoid letting profits inflate conviction beyond evidence.

Panic after losses: A 50% drawdown feels terrible but tells you nothing about long-term value. If your thesis hasn't changed, your allocation shouldn't either.

Neglecting correlation: Adding crypto doesn't diversify if your other assets are also risk-on. Crypto correlates with growth stocks during stress. True diversification requires uncorrelated assets.

Ignoring costs: Trading fees, spreads, and taxes compound. Frequent trading in a volatile asset can consume significant returns. Buy and hold, rebalance periodically, minimise friction.

The Practical Synthesis

For most sophisticated investors, the framework is:

Allocation: 1-5% depending on conviction, with 2-3% as a sensible default for moderate conviction.

Composition: Primarily Bitcoin, potentially with Ethereum. Minimal altcoin exposure unless you have specific expertise.

Implementation: Hybrid of lump sum and DCA. Deploy over 6-12 months to manage timing risk psychologically.

Structure: ETFs in tax-advantaged accounts where possible. Direct ownership in taxable accounts only if you specifically want self-custody or features ETFs don't provide.

Maintenance: Quarterly rebalancing to target. Annual thesis review. Written investment policy statement.

This isn't exciting. It's not a path to getting rich quickly. But it's a framework that captures crypto's upside while managing downside — appropriate for investors who want exposure without speculation. The goal is to be positioned for success without being positioned for ruin.

S.

Our secret. Your edge.

Discover more at sirruna.com